

Advancing
Free Trade for Asia-Pacific
Prosperity

Tracking Progress of RAASR: The Important Role of Indicators

2 Jun 2016 – Workshop on RAASR IAPs

Presented by
Andre Wirjo
APEC Policy Support Unit

From RAASR to (Inclusive) Growth



More open, well-functioning, transparent and competitive markets

Deeper participation by all segments of society, including MSMEs, women, youth, older workers, and people with disabilities

Sustainable social policies that promote the other pillars, enhance economic resilience, and are well-targeted, effective and non-discriminatory

Lower cost of doing business

Unlock significant productivity gains

Increase opportunities and prospects

Minimize negative impacts of open trade and investment

Increase labor pool /economically active population with diverse set of skills

(Inclusive) Growth

Tracking progress of RAASR: A perspective from ANSSR



"While the effort applied to ANSSR is evident, the progress made is more difficult to identify, mainly as a consequence of the formats of reporting, which is a mix of project descriptions and of qualitative measures and quantitative measures"



"Revise the reporting of commitments in each project in each economy, by adding a focus on policy indicators where possible <u>using quantitative</u> measures including a set of baseline measures against which to measure progress"

RAASR Review Process



Concrete Actions

Pillars

Individual economy level (IAPs)

APEC-wide level

- 1. Range of concrete actions developed by economies are likely to be very wide in spectrum
- 2. APEC-wide progress should be monitored and analyzed

Identifying Baseline Indicators: The Critical Role of Economies



Concrete Actions

Pillars

Individual economy level (IAPs)

- 1. Indicators likely to have the highest level of linkage with concrete actions themselves
 - → Economies know best what it intends to achieve
- 2. Relevant information pertaining to indicators
 - → Economies have information on availability, frequency, source, etc.
- 3. Wide range of specific reform actions
 - → Challenging to be listing down possible indicators for economies' consideration



- 1. Formulate concrete actions that are S.M.A.R.T.
 - → Specific
 - → Measurable
 - → Achievable
 - → Results-focused
 - →Time-bound

- 2. Involve colleagues from various relevant agencies
 - → Planning agencies;
 - → Statistical agencies; etc.



- 3. Ask if indicators have the following features:
 - Relevance
 - → Is it closely linked to the concrete action?
 - → The closer, the better
 - Regularity
 - → Will it be updated and what is the update frequency?
 - → More regular, the better
 - Credibility
 - → Can it be used by governments to promote policy reforms?
 - → The more credible, the more interest from stakeholders
 - Accountability
 - → Can it be monitored and verified by third-parties?
 - → More specific indicators, stronger accountability



4. Include a mix of specific and broad indicators can complement one another

Specific/micro	Broad/macro
 (+) Closely linked to a concrete action (implementation and extent) Allow formulation of more targeted action Provide strong basis for tweaking structural reform efforts 	(+) Can provide implications at the economy level
(-) Inability to provide broader implications at the economy level	(-) Attribution issues(-) Usually take time to respond and adjust to ongoing structural reform efforts

An example on the utilization of both specific and broad indicators



Facilitate deeper youth participation in the labor market through the implementation of more technical vocational education training (TVET) programme targeted towards the youth from 2016 to 2020

	Number of institutions currently providing TVET programme by industry sector	Number of youths who attended and completed TVET programme by industry sector	Youth unemployment rate
Type of indicator	Specific/micro	Specific/micro	Broad/macro
Strengths	 Provide following information: What are the industry sectors that majority of existing TVET programmes cater to? Which industry sectors are underserved? Is the uptake of TVET programmes good? Allow tweaking of efforts: Focus on information dissemination on availability of such programmes? Explore possibility of providing scholarships to students to increase uptake? 		 Complement specific/micro indicator: Need to involve industry in curriculum formulation? Need to upscale TVET programme?
Limitations	Unable to provide information on whether the implementation of more TVET programme for youth has led to increase in youth employment at economy level		 Challenging to identify exactly what have been done and what should be done (attribution issue) Take time to adjust



- 5. Note and use different types of indicators appropriately
 - Quantitative vs. qualitative

Quantitative	Qualitative
 Absolute values Number of procedures to start a business Number of days to get electricity 	 Has your economy ratified a specific law? At what stage of the process is it in (e.g. public consultation)? Has your economy implemented a
 Relative values Employment to population ratio Share of youth unemployment 	national single window to facilitatecustoms procedures? At what stage of theprocess is it in (e.g. pilot)?How satisfied are visitors to the
 Changes over time Change in no. of tertiary institutions Change in labor force participation rate 	employment assistance agency? (e.g. very unsatisfied; somewhat satisfied; neutral; somewhat satisfied; very satisfied)



- 5. Note and use different types of indicators appropriately
 - Hard, soft or composite

	Hard data	Soft data	
> T > T > P > H	on statistical facts Time to process documents Fariff Pupil-teacher ratio Hospital beds per 1,000 Deople	 Based on perceptions In your economy, to what extent is pay related to employee productivity? (1= not at all; 7= to a great extent) 	
	Composite index		
 Based on both statistical facts and perceptions Domestic LPI (logistics performance index) 			



- 5. Note and use different types of indicators appropriately
 - Policy vs. outcome indicators
 - → Policy indicators are generally within control of policymakers
 - → Outcome indicators may be beyond control of policymakers

	Policy indicators	Outcome indicators
•	By law/de-jure Are there any restrictions on issuance of working visa for foreign nationals?	 Direct government influence is usually hard to determine Share of youth unemployment
•	By facts/de-facto What are the number of working visa issued by the immigration authorities?	Employment to population ratioTotal FDI flows



- 6. Identify "good" number of indicators
 - No hard and fast rule
 - Many indicators: (+) More information
 - (-) Unwieldy to collect and monitor

Key Takeaways



1. Importance of developing S.M.A.R.T IAPs.

2. Baseline indicators are among the crucial element in shedding more lights on progress under RAASR.

3. Economies have critical role in identifying these indicators.