

REFRESHER FOR MOOT COURT EXERCISE

Moot Court Exercise: Energy Drinks



> Types of products at issue:



Basic Factual Situation



- > Members involved:
- Vitalistan (Respondent/Defendant)
- Developed country Member
- High health standards
- Important producer of sports drinks
- Adopted tax and non-tax measures affecting importation and marketing of energy drinks
- Measures focus on 2 stimulants in energy drinks: caffeine; and taurine

Basic Factual Situation



- > Members involved:
- ■**Boostland (Complainant)**
- Developing country Member
- Exporter of energy drinks
- ☐ Taurilania (Third party)
- Developed country Member
- Important producer and exporter of energy drinks

Basic Factual Situation



- > Members involved:
- Paradistan (Third party)
- Least-developed country Member
- Producer and exporter of guarana-enriched sports drinks
- Duty free entry of its drinks into Vitalistan
- >Others involved:
- ■Soft Drinks Watch (NGO)

GATT 1994/WTO Provisions



> Article I - MFN treatment

> Article III - National treatment



- Article XX General exception
- Article XXIV RTA exception

Article I, GATT 1994



Non-discrimination obligation among WTO Members.

Each WTO Member must grant all other Members "immediately and unconditionally" the best treatment it gives to any trading partner.

Article I, GATT 1994



- Does the measure at issue confer a trade "advantage" of the kind covered by Article I:1?
- > Are the products concerned "like"?
- ➤ Is the advantage at issue granted "immediately and unconditionally" to all like products?

GATT 1994/WTO Provisions



> Article I - MFN treatment

> Article III - National treatment



- Article XX General exception
- Article XXIV RTA exception

Article III, GATT 1994



- Article III:1 general principle
- ☐ Internal taxation and domestic regulation not applied "so as to afford protection to domestic production".
- > Article III:2 tax discrimination
- No tax discrimination between domestic and imported like products (first sentence), or directly competitive or substitutable products (second sentence)
- Article III:4 regulatory discrimination
- No regulatory discrimination between domestic and imported like products.

Article III, GATT 1994



- Article III:2 first sentence
- Are imported products taxed "in excess of" like domestic products?

- > Article III:2 second sentence
- ☐ Are internal taxes applied in a manner contrary to the principles set forth in Article III:1?
- Are domestic products and directly competitive or substitutable products "not similarly taxed"?

Article III, GATT 1994



- > Article III:4 regulatory discrimination
- ☐ Is the measure at issue a law, regulation or requirement covered by Article III:4?
- Are the domestic and imported products at issue "like products"?
- Are imported products accorded treatment "less favourable" than like domestic products?

Article III (Like Products)



- > Not defined in GATT 1994
- Factors considered by past panels (Working Party on Border Tax Adjustments)
- Properties, nature and quality
- End uses
- Consumer perceptions, tastes and habits
- Tariff classification



Article III (Like Products)

Article III:2 – 1st sentence (4 basic criteria)



www.shutterstock.com - 66985468

- Article III:4
- 4 basic criteria + competitive relationship

 Article III:2 – 2nd sentence (4 basic criteria + competitive conditions)







www.shutterstock.com - 64944889



GATT 1994/WTO Provisions



> Article I – MFN treatment

> Article III - National treatment



- > Article XX General exception
- Article XXIV RTA exception

Article XX, GATT 1994



Invoking Article XX as an exception to MFN and national treatment obligations.

- Does the challenged measure:
- Ifall within one of the exceptions listed in paragraphs (a)-(j) of Article XX?
- satisfy the requirements of the "chapeau" of Article XX?

Article XX(b), GATT 1994



Necessary to protect human health









Article XX(b), GATT 1994



- "Necessary" requires "weighing and balancing" of several factors:
- Importance of the societal value or objective pursued.
- Contribution of measure to achievement of its objective.
- Trade restrictiveness of measure reasonably available alternatives.

Chapeau of Article XX



- Exception permitted, subject to the requirement that such a measures is not applied in a manner that would constitute:
- arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination between countries where the same conditions prevail; or
- a disguised restriction on international trade.

GATT 1994/WTO Provisions



> Article I – MFN treatment

> Article III - National treatment



- Article XX General exception
- Article XXIV RTA exception

Article XXIV, GATT 1994



- > Article XXIV (Customs Unions and FTAs):
- □ Duties on non-parties not on the whole more trade restrictive than prior to the union (XXIV:5)
- □ Duties eliminated with respect to substantially all trade between parties to the union (XXIV:8)

Questions?



7

The End



THANK YOU!